

Universidad Nacional Mayor de San Marcos School of Computer Science Syllabus of Course Academic Period 2018-II

- 1. Code and Name: CS2101. Theory of Computation (Mandatory)
- 2. Credits: 4
- 3. Hours of theory and Lab: 2 HT; 4 HL; (15 weeks)
- 4. Professor(s)

Meetings after coordination with the professor

5. Bibliography

[Bro93] J. Glenn Brookshear. Teoría de la Computación. Addison Wesley Iberoamericana, 1993.

- [HU93] John E. Hopcroft and Jeffrey D. Ullman. Introducción a la Teoría de Autómatas, Lenguajes y Computación. CECSA, 1993.
- [Kel95] Dean Kelley. Teoría de Autómatas y Lenguajes Formales. Prentice Hall, 1995.
- [Kol97] Ross Kolman Busby. Estructuras de Matemáticas Discretas para la Computación. Prentice Hall, 1997.

6. Information about the course

- (a) **Brief description about the course** This course emphasizes formal languages, computer models and computability, as well as the fundamentals of computational complexity and complete NP problems.
- (b) **Prerrequisites:** CS1D02. Discrete Structures II. (2nd Sem)
- (c) **Type of Course:** Mandatory
- (d) **Modality:** Face to face

7. Specific goals of the Course

• That the student learn the fundamental concepts of the theory of formal languages.

8. Contribution to Outcomes

- a) An ability to apply knowledge of mathematics, science. (Assessment)
- b) An ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data. (Assessment)
- j) Apply the mathematical basis, principles of algorithms and the theory of Computer Science in the modeling and design of computational systems in such a way as to demonstrate understanding of the equilibrium points involved in the chosen option. (Assessment)
- a) An ability to apply knowledge of mathematics, science. (Assessment)
- b) An ability to design and conduct experiments, as well as to analyze and interpret data. (Assessment)
- j) Apply the mathematical basis, principles of algorithms and the theory of Computer Science in the modeling and design of computational systems in such a way as to demonstrate understanding of the equilibrium points involved in the chosen option. (Assessment)

9. Competences (IEEE)

C8. Understanding of what current technologies can and cannot accomplish. \Rightarrow Outcome a

- **C9.** Understanding of computing's limitations, including the difference between what computing is inherently incapable of doing vs. what may be accomplished via future science and technology. \Rightarrow **Outcome b,j**
- C8. Understanding of what current technologies can and cannot accomplish. \Rightarrow Outcome a
- **C9.** Understanding of computing's limitations, including the difference between what computing is inherently incapable of doing vs. what may be accomplished via future science and technology. \Rightarrow **Outcome b,j**

10. List of topics

- 1. Basic Automata Computability and Complexity
- 2. Advanced Computational Complexity
- 3. Advanced Automata Theory and Computability

11. Methodology and Evaluation Methodology:

Theory Sessions:

The theory sessions are held in master classes with activities including active learning and roleplay to allow students to internalize the concepts.

Lab Sessions:

In order to verify their competences, several activities including active learning and roleplay will be developed during lab sessions.

Oral Presentations:

Individual and team participation is encouraged to present their ideas, motivating them with additional points in the different stages of the course evaluation.

Reading:

Throughout the course different readings are provided, which are evaluated. The average of the notes in the readings is considered as the mark of a qualified practice. The use of the UTEC Online virtual campus allows each student to access the course information, and interact outside the classroom with the teacher and with the other students. **Evaluation System:**

12. Content

Unit 2: Advanced Computational Complexity (20)		
Competences Expected: C8,C9		
Learning Outcomes	Topics	
 Define the classes P and NP (Also appears in AL/Basic Automata, Computability, and Complexity) [Assessment] Define the P-space class and its relation to the EXP class [Assessment] Explain the significance of NP-completeness (Also appears in AL/Basic Automata, Computability, and Complexity) [Assessment] Provide examples of classic NP-complete problems [Assessment] Prove that a problem is NP-complete by reducing a classic known NP-complete problem to it [Assessment] 	 Review of the classes P and NP; introduce P-space and EXP Polynomial hierarchy NP-completeness (Cook's theorem) Classic NP-complete problems Reduction Techniques 	
Readings : [Kel95], [HU93]		

Learning Outcomes Topics • Determine a language's place in the Chomsky hierarchy (regular, context-free, recursively enumerable) [Assessment] • Sets and languages • Convert among equivalently powerful notations for a language, including among DFAs, NFAs, and regular expressions, and between PDAs and CFGs [Assessment] • Review of deterministic finite automata (DFAs) • Review of regular expressions, and between PDAs and CFGs [Assessment] • Closure properties • Closure properties • Proving languages non-regular, via the pumping lemma or alternative means • Context-free languages • Push-down automata (PDAs)	Unit 3: Advanced Automata Theory and Computability (20) Competences Expected: C8	
 archy (regular, context-free, recursively enumerable) [Assessment] Convert among equivalently powerful notations for a language, including among DFAs, NFAs, and regular expressions, and between PDAs and CFGs [Assess- ment] Review of deterministic finite automata (NFAs) Equivalence of DFAs and NFAs Review of regular expressions; their equivalence to finite automata Closure properties Proving languages non-regular, via the pump- ing lemma or alternative means Context-free languages Push-down automata (PDAs) Relationship of PDAs and context-free gram- mars 		Topics
Readings : [HU93], [Bro93]	 archy (regular, context-free, recursively enumerable) [Assessment] Convert among equivalently powerful notations for a language, including among DFAs, NFAs, and regular expressions, and between PDAs and CFGs [Assess- ment] 	 Regular languages Review of deterministic finite automata (DFAs) Nondeterministic finite automata (NFAs) Equivalence of DFAs and NFAs Review of regular expressions; their equivalence to finite automata Closure properties Proving languages non-regular, via the pumping lemma or alternative means Context-free languages Push-down automata (PDAs) Relationship of PDAs and context-free grammars